

Our workgroup has been tasked with identifying inconsistencies between states unique fertilizer label laws, rules and policy. We created two documents and ask that you help by identifying your states inconsistencies or stances on labeling.

**First** if you would use the excel workbook to list your laws, rules, and policies. This will show alignment with the standards AAPFCO has been able to create and identify any outside the norm. Our options are:

**Yes – Complies with AAPFCO;** use if you require and are in alignment with the AAPFCO standard

**Yes – Differs with AAPFCO;** use if you require but have your own law unique from AAPFCO

**No – Complies with AAPFCO;** use if you don't require the statement but when its used or listed on a label you want it to comply with the AAPFCO standard.

**No – Differs from AAPFCO;** use if you don't require the statement and do not enforce or care about the presence of an element on a label.

Last, on the Excel please list any additional requirements your state may have that is not in the AAPFCO OP and is unique to your state. For example, North Dakota has a chelate breakdown requirement in rule for nutrients with more than one chelate method.

For your reference the AAPFCO standards are listed as well as the location cited in the OP.

**Second** we would like you to create your unique requirements on the attached master label. This label is taken out of the label review guide. We identified the need to have a requirement in writing but also agreed that clarity can be gained by using examples.

We ask for those good with Word "markup" to edit the label to reflect your requirements then explain them on the side using the "comment" options. Please see the examples by ND regarding Iron Chelates. For those of you that have trouble with Word, please feel free to print out, markup, scan and remit with the same information.

After these get completed for many or all states we will be sending this around again. The second go around will ask if you will allow or disallow any unique requirements of other states. For example, Washington may not care the chelates are broken down by method, however they may not accept the Florida License numbers on a label. This is where we are hoping to bridge the gap between who requires what and who will allow the requirements of others in their state.

Questions please contact:

Katie NM [klaney@nmda.nmsu.edu](mailto:klaney@nmda.nmsu.edu)

Eddie WA [esimons@arg.wa.gov](mailto:esimons@arg.wa.gov)

Amy WI [amy2.basel@wisconsin.gov](mailto:amy2.basel@wisconsin.gov)

Dave SD [davids.smith@state.sd.us](mailto:davids.smith@state.sd.us)